| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | 10/721,501 | VEECH, RICHARD L. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Raymond J. Henley III | 1614 |
| Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u> | | |
| (1) Raymond J. Henley III. | (3) | |
| (2) Leonard C. Mitchard. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 3 November 2005 | Time: <u>PM (E.S.T.)</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: None | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | , | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Application is abandoned. | | |
| Part III. | • | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| See Attached Notice of Abandonment. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | - |
| La IIII | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative S | ignature - if appropriate). |

RAYMOND HENLEY III Examiner Initiated Interview Summary PRIMARY EXAMINER U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

Paper No. 11032005